



# **D1.9: Standardization needs analysis and potential contributions (an update, living document)**

**WPI – Competence Centers and Technical  
Expertise Management**

*Authors: Christoph Hellmann Santos, Ragesh Ramachandran*



## D1.9: Standardization needs analysis and potential contributions (an update, living document)



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 825395

Disclaimer



Any dissemination of results reflects only the author's view and the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

### Copyright message

© agROBOfood Consortium, 2022

This deliverable contains original unpublished work except where clearly indicated otherwise. Acknowledgement of previously published material and of the work of others has been made through appropriate citation, quotation or both. Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

### Document Information

G.A. No.	825395	Acronym	agROBOfood	
Full Title	Business-Oriented Support to the European Robotics and Agrifood Sector, towards a network of Digital Innovation Hubs in Robotics			
Horizon 2020 Call	DT-ICT-02-2018: Robotics - Digital Innovation Hubs (DIH)			
Type of Action	Innovation Action			
Start Date	1 <sup>st</sup> June 2019	Duration	48 months	
Project URL	<a href="http://www.agrobofood.eu">www.agrobofood.eu</a>			
Document URL	-			
EU Project Officer	Jan Hückmann			
Project Coordinator	Kees Lokhorst			
Deliverable	D1.9: Standardization needs analysis and potential contributions (an update, living document)			
Work Package	WP1 – Competence Centers and Technical Expertise Management			
Date of Delivery	Contractual	M30	Actual	M32
Nature	R – Report	Dissemination Level	Pu - Public	
Lead Beneficiary	Fraunhofer			
Lead Author	Christoph Hellmann Santos	Email	<a href="mailto:cmh@ipa.fraunhofer.de">cmh@ipa.fraunhofer.de</a>	
	Fraunhofer IPA	Phone	+49 711 970-1097	
Other authors	Ragesh Ramachandran (IPA)			
Reviewer(s)	Harsh Desphande (Fraunhofer), Kees Lohorst (WR), Catherine Bidard (CEA)			
Keywords	standardization, agrifood, robotics			

*Table 1: Document information*

### Document History

Version	Issue Date	Stage	Changes	Contributor
1.0	03.06.2020	Final		IPA
1.1	09.12.2022	Draft		IPA
1.0	31.01.2022	Final	See Changelog	IPA

*Table 2: Document History*

## Table of Contents

Executive summary .....	8
1 Changelog .....	9
2 Introduction .....	9
2.1 agROBOfood in a snapshot .....	9
2.2 Standardization needs analysis and potential contributions .....	10
2.3 Overview of the document .....	11
3 Areas of standardization and needs in agrifood robotics sector .....	12
3.1 Communication Protocols .....	12
3.1.1 ISOBUS - (ISO11783).....	12
3.1.2 BroadR-Reach – High-Speed-ISOBUS .....	13
3.1.3 ISOXML (ISO 11783).....	13
3.1.4 MQTT (ISO/IEC 20922) .....	15
3.1.5 OPC-UA (IEC 62541).....	15
3.1.1 Further standardization needs .....	16
3.2 Robot Modularity.....	17
3.2.1 Robot Operating System (ROS).....	17
3.2.2 ISO 22166 – Modularity for service robots .....	17
3.2.1 Further standardization needs .....	18
3.3 Safety of Machinery .....	19
3.3.1 ISO 18497: 2018 - Safety of highly automated agricultural machines .....	19
3.3.2 ISO 17575:2019 - Autonomous and semi-autonomous machine system safety .....	19
3.3.3 ISO 25119:2010 Safety-related parts of control systems .....	20
3.3.1 ISO 3691-4:2020 Industrial trucks – Safety requirements and verification.....	21
3.3.1 ISO 10218 Robots and robotic devices.....	21
3.3.1 Further standardization needs .....	22
3.4 Food safety.....	22
3.4.1 FDA 21 CFR 174-178 - Code of Federal Regulations .....	22
3.4.2 DIN EN1672-2:2009-07 - Food processing Hygiene requirements.....	23
3.4.3 DIN EN ISO 22000:2018-09 - Food safety management systems .....	24
3.4.4 Further standardization needs .....	24
3.5 Security.....	25
3.5.1 IEC 62443 - Industrial communication networks - Network and system security .....	25
3.5.2 Further standardization needs in security.....	26
3.6 Energy Management.....	26
3.6.1 ISO 50001 – Energy Management systems.....	26

3.7	Other standardization needs for agri-food robotics.....	27
4	Case studies.....	28
4.1	Safety for strawberry picking robot.....	28
4.1.1	Use case description .....	28
4.1.2	Standardization needs and recommendations .....	28
4.2	Robot software standardization.....	29
4.2.1	Robotics software platforms.....	29
4.2.2	Standardization needs and recommendations .....	32
5	Contribution channels .....	34
6	Conclusions .....	35
7	Outlook .....	36
	Literature .....	37

## Table of Figures

Figure 1: ISOBUS interface standard.....	12
Figure 2: Task Controller functionalities .....	14
Figure 3 - Typical strawberry farming setup in a polytunnel.....	28

## Table of Tables

Table 1: Document information .....	4
Table 2: Document History.....	4
Table 3: Standardization needs analysis.....	10
Table 4: Details of ISOBUS.....	12
Table 5: Details of BroadR-Reach.....	13
Table 6: Details of ISOXML.....	14
Table 7: Details of MQTT .....	15
Table 8 – Details of OPC-UA .....	15
Table 9: Details of ROS .....	17
Table 10: Details of ISO 22166 .....	18
Table 11: Details of ISO 18497:2018 .....	19
Table 12: Details of ISO 17575:2019 .....	20
Table 13: Details of ISO 25119 .....	20
Table 14: Details of ISO 3691-4.....	21
Table 15: Details of ISO 10218 .....	21
Table 16: Details of FDA 21 CFR 174-178.....	23
Table 17: Details of food processing hygiene requirements .....	23
Table 18: Details of ISO 22000:2018-09 .....	24
Table 19: Details of IEC62443 .....	25
Table 20: Details of ISO 50001 .....	26

## D1.9: Standardization needs analysis and potential contributions (an update, living document)



## Executive summary

This deliverable was written for the agROBOfood project. As stated in the project agreement it is an updated version of D1.8. It details the view of the consortium on the existing standardization landscape in agri-food robotics. Six different areas of standardization in agri-food robotics are analyzed in this deliverable. Specifically, the areas communication protocols, robots themselves, robot safety, food safety, security and energy management are detailed. For each area, the authors identified and documented the relevant existing standards and needs of standardization. As robotics in agri-food sector is a relatively young development, standardization is sparse in most areas. agROBOfood executes case studies with agROBOfood service recipients and result summaries are added to this continuously updating deliverable. Currently, one case study about robot safety standardization was carried out and one on robot software platforms. These standards are only partially applicable for agri-food robotics. The deliverable also identifies agROBOfood partners that are experts in the different standards and can help customers with interpreting and complying with these standards.



# 1 Changelog

## Update D1.8 to D1.9:

- **Added new Standards**  
 ISO 3691-4:2020 Industrial trucks – Safety requirements and verification  
 ISO 10218 Industrial robots  
 OPC-UA Communication
- **Added Case Study on Robot Software Platforms:**  
 A summary of the predominantly used robot software platforms and a detailed literature research on existing robot software platforms.
- **Added Case Study on Robot Safety for strawberry robots:**  
 An in detail analysis of a strawberry picking robots safety design and lacks of standardization.
- **Added section on contribution channels:**  
 A list of standardization working groups that are actively fed with standardization requirements from the agROBOfood project.
- **Updated Outlook Section:**  
 Adjusted the outlook section to reflect the current state of the agROBOfood project

# 2 Introduction

## 2.1 agROBOfood in a snapshot

More than 9 billion people in less than 40 years: this estimation highlights the challenge that the agrifood sector will need to address on a global level. This means that the production of food will need to be increased, while at the same time the environmental impact of that activity will need to be reduced to avoid detrimental consequences. Another parameter that comes into place is that of the workforce that is also under pressure, as fewer seasonal workers are available for labor-intensive seasons such as harvest. A way to address those challenges is to increase the use of robotic sensing and automation in the agrifood industry. This facilitates precision and organic farming methods with their reduced environmental footprint, automates the heavier and more repetitive jobs, reduces the need for seasonal workers, can supply 24/7 vigilance against pests and disease, increases food hygiene and improves food traceability.

More food is available at a lower cost, to feed the increasing population. agROBOfood will establish a network of robotics Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) in the agrifood domain, each bringing their own ecosystem of Competence Centres (CCs). Each of those have expertise either in the robotics, or in the agricultural or food sectors. By connecting these actors with their different strengths, each will contribute to providing a more joined-up set of automation options for food producers and wider markets for technology providers. This network will work together to foster robotics deployment in agrifood, improve the automation service offer across Europe and support SMEs and mid-caps in developing new robotics products for agrifood. In other words DIHs will act as centres of gravity, where various stakeholders such as developers, users, consultants and investors can interact and ensure synergy and cross-pollination of ideas.

The overarching goal of agROBOfood will be to increase the end user awareness of what robotics can do for them through the demonstration of Innovation Experiments (IEs), to develop a one-stop shop online and physically within reasonable working distance, providing access to appropriate services on a pan-European level to facilitate market introduction of new robotic technologies by maturing research prototypes to advise end users how to fund the digital transformation of their company to engage in standardization activities and promote open standards and platforms to connect to other robotics networks and projects through direct links and the Robotics Digital Innovation Hubs CSA.

## 2.2 Standardization needs analysis and potential contributions

The goal of the standardization need analysis was to analyze the standards that are important for the domain. The study would provide an overview of the standardization needs in each category. The analysis should identify the domain experts and the potential ways to contribute.

In order to achieve these goals, the agROBOfood consortium was asked to fill out a questionnaire with two questions concerning standards and standardization needs.

First, the consortium was asked in free text to name the standards, that are important for the agricultural sector. This yielded a list of standards, which was then discussed, amended and classified by technical experts in WP1. The following table shows the results as well as the technical experts for each of the identified standards or defacto standards. The experts were asked for each of the standards has provided a short summary to detail the contents of the standards. These can be found in the following section.

Standard ID or Name	Category	Consortium expert
BroadR-Reach	Communication	AEF
ISOBUS	Communication	AEF, CEMA, Inesc Tec
MQTT	Communication	Fraunhofer IPA
OPC-UA	Communication	Fraunhofer IPA
ISO 22166	Robots	Fraunhofer IPA
ROS/ROS2	Robots	Fraunhofer IPA
ISO 18497	Safety of Machinery	CEMA
ISO 17757	Safety of Machinery	CEMA
ISO 25119	Safety of Machinery	AEF, CEMA
ISO 62443	Security	Joanneum Research
ISO 22000	Food Safety	Fraunhofer IVV
DIN EN 1672-2	Food Safety	Fraunhofer IVV
FDA 21CFR 174-178	Food Safety	Fraunhofer IVV
ISO 50001	Energy Management	WUR
ISOXML	Data Format	CEMA

Table 3: Standardization needs analysis

Second, the consortium was asked to provide in free text what areas in the agrifood domain of robotics need standardization. These answers were analysed, classified into sections, converted into text and added to section 2 of this document.

In total we received 23 answers from experts of the agROBOfood consortium to the questionnaire we send out.

### 2.3 Overview of the document

The document is organized into two parts. The first part gives an introduction about agROBOfood and the need for standardization and its potential contributions. This part presented the background and motivation for this deliverable. The second part of the document provides a short overview of each standard and its associated sub modules as provided by agROBOfood experts. Six categories for standardization were identified based on the a questionnaire to the consortium members. The major standardization needs are in the domain of communication, robot middleware, safety of machinery, food safety, security, and energy management. The document also addresses the need for further standardization needs in each category. Section 3 concludes on the standardization needs in agrifood robotics and Section 4 lists the next steps the agROBOfood consortium plans to take.

# 3 Areas of standardization and needs in agrifood robotics sector

## 3.1 Communication Protocols

### 3.1.1 ISOBUS - (ISO11783)

Electronics are the key to making machinery more efficient, precise and economical. ISOBUS is one of the most important techniques here. The idea behind ISOBUS is 'plug and play' with any tractor-terminal-implement-combination. But in practice it is not quite that easy. How well the components of an ISOBUS system work together depends on what they have in common, i.e. the functionalities supported by all of them. The worldwide ISO 11783 (ISOBUS) standard defines the communication between agricultural machinery, mainly tractors and implements, and also the data transfer between these mobile machines and farm software applications. It is the most significant and comprehensive standard to date, but it leaves room for interpretation, which has led to a great number of innovative but proprietary ISOBUS solutions. In order to minimize the room for interpretation the AEF develops guidelines which add to the ISO standard and thus allow for precise definitions of ISOBUS functionalities. Ultimately this provides for clarity regarding the compatibility of ISOBUS products. The ISOBUS standard specifies a serial data network for control and communications on forestry or agricultural tractors and implements. ISOBUS is based on physical layer CAN and connect tractor and implement.

ISOBUS: Interface standard for machine communication



Figure 1: ISOBUS interface standard

**Details:**

<b>Category</b>	(Communication   Energy Management   Safety   Security   Carbon Assessment ...)
<b>Application domain</b>	(Tractors and machinery for agriculture and forestry)
<b>Title</b>	Communication
<b>Identifier</b>	ISOBUS (ISO 11783)
<b>Experts</b>	AEF
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://www.aef-online.org/about-us/isobus.html">https://www.aef-online.org/about-us/isobus.html</a>

Table 4: Details of ISOBUS

### Service providers

Partner	Contact
	Project team “Engineering & Implementation” of the AEF e.V.  Information: <a href="mailto:office@aef-online.org">office@aef-online.org</a>

### 3.1.2 BroadR-Reach – High-Speed-ISOBUS

Ethernet exists in many forms, and with many performance levels ranging from below 10 Mb/s to well beyond 1 Gb/s. Automotive took a strong interest in Ethernet and commissioned the development of a special 100 Mb/s automotive variant of Ethernet (BroadR-Reach). That is of interest to the agricultural industry since it is designed with automotive requirements and regulations in mind. In the ongoing technology research by AEF project team High-Speed-ISOBUS, the best and most reliable technology supporting the Ag industry requirements is a wired Ethernet technology. In the wide variety of Ethernet technologies, the preferred solution is 1000BASE-T1. T1 – Ethernet is defined by IEEE as successors of the BroadR-Reach technology and therefore manufacturer independent. Compared to the more common desktop-style of Ethernet that uses 2-pair of wire for 100 Mb/s, or 4-pair for 1 Gb/s, the “-T1” Ethernet uses a single twisted pair. The reduction in wire and connector pin count translates to a lower probability of failure.

High Speed ISOBUS will cover the following Agriculture use cases:

- Command and Control like “Sophisticated Universal Terminal”(VT) and more precise TaskControl / SectionControl.
- Real-time Video for Remote Process viewing as an example.
- Bulk Data Transfer used for e.g. Guidance, Coverage and Prescription.
- Enhanced Service and diagnostic in mixed manufacturer systems and for RMI (Repair-Maintenance-Information).

#### Details:

<b>Category</b>	(Communication   Energy Management   Safety   Security   Carbon Assessment ...)
<b>Application domain</b>	(Tractors and machinery for agriculture and forestry)
<b>Title</b>	(Communication)
<b>Identifier</b>	BroadR-Reach – High-Speed-ISOBUS
<b>Experts</b>	<b>AEF</b>
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://www.aef-online.org/about-us/activities/high-speed-isobus.html">https://www.aef-online.org/about-us/activities/high-speed-isobus.html</a>

*Table 5: Details of BroadR-Reach*

### Service providers

Partner	Contact
	Project team “High-Speed-ISOBUS” of the AEF e.V. Information: <a href="mailto:office@aef-online.org">office@aef-online.org</a>

### 3.1.3 ISOXML (ISO 11783)

ISOXML is a data format used by ISO11783 part 10, more commonly referred to as the Task Controller. It is the Task Controller software on the tractor display which communicates with the

ISOBUS implement, telling it how much product (seed, fertilizer, etc) to apply based on which part of the field the tractor/implement is in. The Task Controller software is then recording all the work that the implement has done, what was actually applied and where, how many hay bales have been made, etc. All of this information is logged in the ISOXML data format. Use of this common format allows the farmer to export the data from the tractor display and import it into his/her Farm Management Information System (FMIS) of choice for analysis and record keeping. The FMIS can also be used to plan tasks, which might even include the creation of a prescription map for product application (seed, fertiliser, etc). These tasks are then exported from the FMIS and imported into the tractor display before the driver starts work. This is again using the ISOXML data format. The concept can be found in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Task Controller functionalities

**Details:**

<b>Category</b>	Data
<b>Application domain</b>	Tractors and machinery for agriculture and forestry
<b>Title</b>	Communication
<b>Identifier</b>	ISOXML (ISO 11783)
<b>Experts</b>	AEF

Table 6: Details of ISOXML

**Service providers**

<b>Partner</b>	<b>Contact</b>
	Project team "Functional Safety" of the AEF e.V. Information: <a href="mailto:office@aef-online.org">office@aef-online.org</a>



### 3.1.4 MQTT (ISO/IEC 20922)

MQTT is an open OASIS and ISO standard (ISO/IEC 20922) lightweight, publish-subscribe network protocol that transports messages between devices. The protocol usually runs over TCP/IP; however, any network protocol that provides ordered, lossless, bi-directional connections can support MQTT. It is designed for connections with remote locations where a "small code footprint" is required or the network bandwidth is limited. MQTT has security features integrated and works well in wireless networks.

**Details:**

<b>Category</b>	Communication
<b>Application domain</b>	Information Technology
<b>Title</b>	Message Queuing Telemetry Transport (MQTT) v3.1.1
<b>Identifier</b>	ISO/IEC 20922
<b>Experts</b>	Fraunhofer IPA

*Table 7: Details of MQTT*

**Reference Implementations:** Implementations of the standard that can be used as a reference or example.

Name	Link	License
mosquitto	<a href="https://mosquitto.org/">https://mosquitto.org/</a>	Eclipse Public License 2.0

**Service providers**

Partner	Contact
No providers in the consortium.	

### 3.1.5 OPC-UA (IEC 62541)

OPC-UA is a platform independent, service oriented, standardized architecture for data exchange to cloud applications. The architecture and its ecosystem of companion specifications is governed by the OPC-UA foundation. Its main characteristics are a model-driven approach for interface specification, security integration as well as support for both publish/subscribe and client/server communication patterns. All data models that have been submitted to the OPC-UA foundation are freely available. The standard is mainly used in industrial settings but also sees more and more use in service robotics.

**Details:**

<b>Category</b>	Communication
<b>Application domain</b>	Information Technology
<b>Title</b>	OPC Unified Architecture
<b>Identifier</b>	IEC 62541
<b>Experts</b>	Fraunhofer IPA

*Table 8 – Details of OPC-UA*

**Reference Implementations:** Implementations of the standard that can be used as a reference or example.

Name	Link	License
open62541	<a href="https://open62541.org/">https://open62541.org/</a>	Mozilla Public License v2.0.

## Service providers

Partner	Contact
Fraunhofer IPA	M.Sc. Dipl.-Ing. Christoph Hellmann Santos Nobelstraße 12, 70569 Stuttgart Tel: +49 711 970-1097 @: <a href="mailto:cmh@ipa.fraunhofer.de">cmh@ipa.fraunhofer.de</a>

### 3.1.1 Further standardization needs

The consortium has identified a number of standardization needs that fall in the domain of Connectivity.

One specific need for standardization is a connectivity standard to connect different hardware across the sector. With ISOBUS there is a standard available for controlling devices and exchanging data at low-rate. Standardization is specifically needed for systems that need higher data rates due to elaborate sensory equipment. The need for communication at high data rates is typically the case when developing autonomous or semi-autonomous robot solutions in any sector and also specifically in agrifood domain. These robot solutions need elaborate sensor equipment such as cameras and lidars to enable autonomous behaviour.

Another need for standardization is an over-the-air protocol standard for communication between different hardware. This need arises when working with multiple robots or drones that need to communicate over-the-air. Over-the-air transmission is lossy and requires protocols that can cope with package loss and or even temporary connectivity loss. Combination of protocols such as MQTT and 6LoWPAN or 5G have high potential to be the basis for such a standardization effort in the agrifood domain.

Furthermore, a communication standard is needed for connecting robots to digital backends. As robot tasks are versatile in the agrifood domain we will see a number of specialised robot solutions in the future. These different specialised robot systems will be present on a single farms but probably be stemming from different specialised manufacturers. These robot systems need to be connected to a farms management system. Subsequently, a standard for connecting robots to farm management systems is needed.



## 3.2 Robot Modularity

### 3.2.1 Robot Operating System (ROS)

The Robot Operating System (ROS) is a flexible framework for writing robot software. It is a collection of tools, libraries, and conventions that aim to simplify the task of creating complex and robust robot behavior across a wide variety of robotic platforms.

ROS provides an open-source middleware that runs on Unix based platforms and provides functionality for hardware abstraction, low-level device control, and message passing between processes. ROS offers a variety of tools that allow the developers to visualize and record data, easily navigate through the ROS package structures, and create scripts to automate complex processes. The availability of several off-the-shelf third party modules and the re-usability of ROS have attracted the research communities and industries all over the world. A ROS system contains several running processes performing specific tasks that are connected using peer-to-peer topology at run-time. The tasks communicate with each other and share processed information using strictly typed messages. The main idea behind the ROS is to have a distributed system of individually designed running processes loosely coupled at run-time.

<b>Details: Application domain</b>	Tractors and machinery for agriculture and forestry
<b>Title</b>	Robot Operating System
<b>Identifier</b>	ROS
<b>Experts</b>	Fraunhofer IPA, EURECAT, WUR, INSEC TEC, TU Delft
<b>Link</b>	<a href="http://www.ros.org/">http://www.ros.org/</a>

*Table 9: Details of ROS*

**Reference Implementations:** Implementations of the standard that can be used as a reference or example.

Name	Link	License
ROS	<a href="http://wiki.ros.org">http://wiki.ros.org</a>	BSD, GPL, MIT
ROS2	<a href="https://index.ros.org/packages/">https://index.ros.org/packages/</a>	BSD, GPL, MIT

**Service providers:**

Partner	Contact
ROS Industrial & Fraunhofer IPA	M.Sc. Dipl.-Ing. Christoph Hellmann Santos Nobelstraße 12, 70569 Stuttgart Tel: +49 711 970-1097 @: <a href="mailto:cmh@ipa.fraunhofer.de">cmh@ipa.fraunhofer.de</a>

### 3.2.2 ISO 22166 – Modularity for service robots

First part of a series of standards aiming to enhance reuse of hardware and software modules to efficiently and safely build application-specific robot solution in various application domains, excluding factory automation. Part one defines general requirements for build modular robot systems. It specifies guidelines for robot system designers / makers as well as suppliers of modules used to build robot systems. These guidelines aim at interoperability of modules from diverse manufacturers, including ensuring safe and secure operation of modules in contexts not precisely known when making the modules. The standard is not a safety standard in its own right, but gives

guidance on how to employ other robotic and machinery safety standards in the context of modular robotics. The standard is currently under development and expected to be published in late 2020.

**Details:**

<b>Application domain</b>	Tractors and machinery for agriculture and forestry
<b>Title</b>	Robot Operating System
<b>Identifier</b>	ROS
<b>Experts</b>	Fraunhofer IPA, EURECAT, WUR, INSEC TEC, TU Delft
<b>Link</b>	<a href="http://www.ros.org/">http://www.ros.org/</a>

*Table 10: Details of ISO 22166*

**Service providers:**

Partner	Contact
Fraunhofer IPA	Dr.-Ing. Björn Kahl Nobelstraße 12, 70569 Stuttgart Tel: +49 711 970-1097 @: <a href="mailto:bak@ipa.fraunhofer.de">bak@ipa.fraunhofer.de</a>

### 3.2.1 Further standardization needs

The consortium has identified a number of standardization needs that fall in the domain for robotics. The standardization needs can be split into hardware and software issues. The majority of standardization issues was identified with robot software.

**Software standardization needs:**

An urgent need that was identified by the members of the agROBOfood consortium is a common software platform for robotics that fulfils the relevant industry standards. Currently, a large number of programming languages for robots exist such as Rapid, Karel, KRL most of them stemming from the 1980's. A standardized robot platform should enable a common, modern programming language for all components in a robot system and be independent of the hardware manufacturer. In terms of performance, it should provide sufficient real-time capabilities for standard robot applications such as motion control. A development in this direction is ROS/ROS2 that enables programming robots with a number of modern programming languages such as Python, C/C++ or Java.

Another software aspect that needs standardization is an elementary tool box for robot behaviours and tasks. Research has yielded solutions like behaviour trees (<https://github.com/BehaviorTree/BehaviorTree.CPP>) and actions (<http://wiki.ros.org/actionlib>) that could be the basis of robot behaviour and task standardization. For robotics there would be the need of standardising interfaces between robot tasks that are executed in agriculture (i.e. plant detection to weed eradication and similar interfaces).

An aspect that is more connected to robot system integration into agricultural production is the integration of agricultural robots in farm management systems:

- Predictive scheduling (level 4),
- Executive system (level 3),
- Supervision at the control level of robots (level 2)

**Hardware standardization needs:**

Consortium members think there is a need for a open reference robot platform for green houses.

### 3.3 Safety of Machinery

#### 3.3.1 ISO 18497: 2018 - Safety of highly automated agricultural machines

This document gives principles for the design, verification, validation and provision of information for use of a highly automated agricultural machine (HAAM). The purpose of this document is to assist in the provision of safety requirements, means of verification and information for use to ensure an appropriate level of safety for agricultural and forestry tractors and self-propelled machines with functions allowing highly automated operations. It also provides guidance on the type of information on safe working practices (including information about residual risks) to be provided by the manufacturer. This document is not applicable to forestry applications; mobile, semi-mobile or stationary machinery used for farm yard or barn operations; and operations on public roads including relevant requirements for braking and steering systems. Risks related to the communication between agricultural vehicles are also out of scope.

**Details:**

<b>Category</b>	Safety (by design)
<b>Application domain</b>	Highly automated machines and vehicles during agricultural field operations
<b>Title</b>	Agricultural machinery and tractors — Safety of highly automated agricultural machines — Principles for design
<b>Identifier</b>	ISO 18497: 2018
<b>Experts</b>	ISO
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://www.iso.org/standard/62659.html">https://www.iso.org/standard/62659.html</a>

*Table 11: Details of ISO 18497:2018*

**Service providers**

<b>Partner</b>	<b>Contact</b>
No providers in the consortium.	VDMA (DE) federUNACOMA (IT) ANSEMAT (SP) AXEMA (FR) AGORIA (BE)

#### 3.3.2 ISO 17575:2019 - Autonomous and semi-autonomous machine system safety

The standards describe the safety requirements of the machines in the application domain. It specifies safety criteria both for the machines and their associated systems and infrastructure, including hardware and software, and provides guidance on safe use in their defined functional environments during the machine and system life cycle. It also defines terms and definitions related to autonomous or semi-autonomous machine systems (ASAMS). It is applicable to (semi-) autonomous versions of the earth-moving machinery (EMM) defined in ISO 6165 and of mobile mining machines used in either surface or underground applications. It is not applicable to remote control capability (covered by ISO 15817) or function-specific automated features, except when those features are used as part of ASAMS.

**Details:**

<b>Category</b>	Safety
<b>Application domain</b>	Autonomous machines and semi-autonomous machines (ASAM) used in earth-moving and mining operations,
<b>Title</b>	Earth-moving machinery and mining — Autonomous and semi-autonomous machine system safety
<b>Identifier</b>	ISO 17575: 2019
<b>Experts</b>	ISO
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://www.iso.org/standard/76126.html">https://www.iso.org/standard/76126.html</a>

Table 12: Details of ISO 17575:2019

### Service providers

Partner	Contact
No providers in the consortium.	VDMA (DE) federUNACOMA (IT)

### 3.3.3 ISO 25119:2010 Safety-related parts of control systems

ISO25119 sets out general principles for the design and development of safety-related parts of control systems (SRP/CS) on tractors used in agriculture and forestry and on self-propelled ride-on machines and mounted, semi-mounted and trailed machines used in agriculture. It can also be applied to mobile municipal equipment (e.g. street-sweeping machines).

It is based on a safety life cycle, which combines the most important safety-related activities in the concept phase, during series development, at the start of production (SOP), during operation and maintenance till decommissioning.

In order to guide the designer during design, verification, and to facilitate the assessment of the achieved performance level, ISO25119 defines an approach based on a classification of architecture with different design features and specific behaviour in case of a fault.

The performance levels and categories can be applied to the control systems of all kinds of mobile machines: from simple systems (e.g. auxiliary valves) to complex systems (e.g. steer by wire), as well as to the control systems of protective equipment (e.g. interlocking devices, pressure sensitive devices).

#### Details:

<b>Category</b>	Safety
<b>Application domain</b>	Tractors and machinery for agriculture and forestry
<b>Title</b>	Safety-related parts of control systems
<b>Identifier</b>	ISO25119
<b>Experts</b>	AEF e.V.
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://www.iso.org/standard/57556.html">https://www.iso.org/standard/57556.html</a>

Table 13: Details of ISO 25119

### Reference Implementations

Name	Link	License
AEF e.V.	<a href="http://www.aef-online.org">www.aef-online.org</a>	-

### Service providers

Partner	Contact
AEF e.V.	Project team “Functional Safety” of the AEF e.V. Information: <a href="mailto:office@aef-online.org">office@aef-online.org</a>

## 3.3.1 ISO 3691-4:2020 Industrial trucks – Safety requirements and verification

ISO 3691-4:2020 outlines information about the safety of industrial trucks. Even though the standard is not applicable to all agrifood robots, it gives some interesting details about designing autonomous industrial trucks for industrial applications.

Especially interesting for many agrifood robotics applications are the parts about contactless protection equipment and velocity control. The design principles set in this standard can be applied to for many indoor applications in the agrifood sector.

#### Details:

<b>Category</b>	Safety
<b>Application domain</b>	Industrial trucks
<b>Title</b>	Safety requirements and verification
<b>Identifier</b>	ISO 3691-4
<b>Experts</b>	Fraunhofer IPA
<b>Link</b>	( <a href="https://www.iso.org/standard/70660.html">https://www.iso.org/standard/70660.html</a> )

*Table 14: Details of ISO 3691-4*

### Service providers

Partner	Contact
Fraunhofer IPA	Dipl.-Ing. Theo Jacobs Nobelstraße 12, 70569 Stuttgart Tel: +49 711 970-1097 @: <a href="mailto:taj@ipa.fraunhofer.de">taj@ipa.fraunhofer.de</a>

## 3.3.1 ISO 10218 Robots and robotic devices

ISO 10218 outlines information about the safety for industrial robots. Even though the standard is not applicable to all agrifood robots, it can be of interest when a mobile manipulator is developed or industrial manipulators are used in an agrifood application.

Part 1 of the standard describes safety requirements for robots themselves without being integrated into a bigger assembly. Part 2 contains information about system integration requirements.

#### Details:

<b>Category</b>	Safety
<b>Application domain</b>	Robots and robotic devices
<b>Title</b>	Safety requirements for industrial robots
<b>Identifier</b>	ISO 10218
<b>Experts</b>	Fraunhofer IPA
<b>Link</b>	( <a href="https://www.iso.org/standard/51330.html">https://www.iso.org/standard/51330.html</a> )

*Table 15: Details of ISO 10218*

## Service providers

Partner	Contact
Fraunhofer IPA	Dipl.-Ing. Theo Jacobs Nobelstraße 12, 70569 Stuttgart Tel: +49 711 970-1097 @: <a href="mailto:taj@ipa.fraunhofer.de">taj@ipa.fraunhofer.de</a>

### 3.3.1 Further standardization needs

In agrifood robotics safety plays a key role as humans and animals are endangered by autonomously driving agricultural robots. Agricultural robots are a quite new development and relevant standards have been developed by the agricultural machinery industry. Therefore, current standards are mainly focussed on large machinery (i.e. tractors) with autonomous behaviour. Therefore, the existing standards are difficult to apply to smaller agricultural robot systems. The standard most applicable standard for safety in agricultural robots is EN-ISO 18497 which is a type B1 standard. A C-standard for autonomous agricultural robots is not available at this point.

Another problem that is related to distributed systems such as agricultural robots is communication. Currently, the predominant bussystem for connecting components in agriculture ISOBUS does not provide a dedicated standardised safety layer. Transmitting safety critical messages is possible and a safe black channel communication solution could be implemented on top of ISOBUS based on IEC 61158, IEC 61784-2 und IEC 61784-3-18. AEF also provides guidelines for members for implementing safe communication. However at this point a standardised solution for safety over ISOBUS is not available.

## 3.4 Food safety

### 3.4.1 FDA 21 CFR 174-178 - Code of Federal Regulations

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is a federal agency of the United States' Department of Health and Human Services. The §174.5 of Title 21 of the CFR contains general provisions applicable to indirect food additives. According to §174.6, substances used in food-contact articles (e.g., food-packaging or food-processing equipment) that migrate, or that may be expected to migrate, into food at negligible levels may be reviewed under §170.39 of this chapter. Adhesives and components of coating are regulated in Part 175. Subpart B contains adhesives and pressure-sensitive adhesives for use only as components of adhesives. Subpart C contains from §175.210 to §175.390 a list of substances for use as components of coatings. Subpart B of part 176 contains from §176.110 to §176.350 a list of substances for use only as components of paper and paperboard. Subpart B of part 177 contains from §177.1010 to §177.2000 a list of polymers for use as basic components of single and repeated use food contact surfaces. Subpart C from part 177 contains from §177.2210 to §177.2910 a list of polymers for use only as components of articles intended for repeated use. The part 178 of Title 21 of the CFR subpart B contains hydrogen peroxide solution and sanitizing solutions utilised to control the growth of microorganisms. Subpart C contains antioxidants and stabilisers. Subpart D contains from §178.3010 to §178.3950 a list of certain adjuvants and production aids, e.g. anticorrosive agents according to §178.3125.



### Details:

<b>Category</b>	General indirect Food Additives, Adhesives and Components of Coating, Paper and Paperboard Components, Polymers, Adjuvants, Production Aids, and Sanitizers
<b>Application domain</b>	food-packaging equipment, food-processing equipment, Certain Adjuvants and Production Aids
<b>Title</b>	Code of Federal Regulations
<b>Identifier</b>	FDA 21 CFR 174-178
<b>Experts</b>	Food and Drug Administration
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcr/cfrsearch.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcr/cfrsearch.cfm</a>

Table 16: Details of FDA 21 CFR 174-178

### Reference

### Implementations

Name	Link	License
FDA	<a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcr/cfrsearch.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcr/cfrsearch.cfm</a>	

### Service providers

Partner	Contact
Fraunhofer IVV Dresden – Hygienic Design consultation services	Max Hesse

## 3.4.2 DIN EN1672-2:2009-07 - Food processing Hygiene requirements

The DIN EN 1672-2:2009-07 describes the general requirements regarding hygiene risks that emerge during the utilisation of food machines and throughout the manufacturing process. It covers the basic principles of hazards for food that can be evoked by the machines. The aim is that no hazards are developed for customers of the food. The terms of food hygiene, food sector, spray sector and no-spray sector are defined in the standard. The DIN EN 1672-2:2009-07 provides a list of significant hazards and describes an approach for the implementation of a hygiene-related risk analysis of food machines (including a schematic depiction of the procedure). The standard shows the requirements of construction materials and the (constructive) design. It describes the testing of compliance with the requirements referring to a comprehensive list and describes the minimum of requirements for the content of the user information. The DIN EN 1672-2:2009-07 gives examples for hygiene risks and recommends acceptable solutions in terms of design and/or installation of food machines.

### Details:

<b>Category</b>	Hygienic Design, Food safety, Hygienic risks
<b>Application domain</b>	Food processing machinery
<b>Title</b>	Food processing machinery – Basic concepts – Part 2: Hygiene requirements
<b>Identifier</b>	DIN EN 1672-2:2009-07
<b>Experts</b>	Beuth Verlag GmbH // Deutsches Institut für Normung
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://www.beuth.de/de/norm/din-en-1672-2/116824498">https://www.beuth.de/de/norm/din-en-1672-2/116824498</a>

Table 17: Details of food processing hygiene requirements

**Service providers**

Partner	Contact
Fraunhofer IVV Dresden – Hygienic Design consultation services	Max Hesse @:max.hesse@ivv-dd.fraunhofer.de

### 3.4.3 DIN EN ISO 22000:2018-09 - Food safety management systems

The DIN EN ISO 22000:2018-09 is a global standard management system for food safety. The model of the process-oriented approach of the ISO 9001:2000 is integrated as well as the demands of the HACCP-standards. All information, obtained through a systematic hazard analysis, has to be supplied by the companies for any upstream, downstream and overall organizations. The ISO 22000 summarizes the basics of the system of the hazard analysis and the critical control points (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points – HACCP). All reasonably expected hazards have to be identified, assessed and controlled. It must be determined, which hazards have to be controlled within the own company and which have to be controlled by other companies inside the production chain until the customer. The prerequisite program is about the postulates and actions that are necessary for the manufacturing process as well as the treatment and the provisioning of safe food. The postulates relate to the infrastructure and the work environment. In the hazard analysis the company ascertains which strategy is to be used to ensure the hazard analysis by a combination of the prerequisite program and the HACCP-plan. The standard refers to the suppliers that supply the chain of the food production. Particular emphasis of the ISO 22000 is the documentation and the verification that all necessary measures for food safety are scheduled before the implementation, correctly controlled while the implementation and subsequently completely assessed with regards to the efficacy

**Details:**

<b>Category</b>	Food safety, Hygienic Design, HACCP, Pre-emptively program, supply chain
<b>Application domain</b>	Food safety management
<b>Title</b>	Food safety management systems – Requirements for any organization in the food chain
<b>Identifier</b>	DIN EN ISO 22000:2018-09
<b>Experts</b>	Beuth Verlag GmbH // Deutsches Institut für Normung
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://www.beuth.de/de/norm/din-en-iso-22000/286720651">https://www.beuth.de/de/norm/din-en-iso-22000/286720651</a>

*Table 18: Details of ISO 22000:2018-09*

**Service providers**

Partner	Contact
Fraunhofer IVV Dresden – Hygienic Design consultation services	Max Hesse @:max.hesse@ivv-dd.fraunhofer.de

### 3.4.4 Further standardization needs

The questionnaire to the agROBO consortium did not reveal any standardization needs in the area of food safety. Together with experts from Fraunhofer IVV we identified the cause – food safety is



not well represented in the consortium. It will be a prime target to acquire more knowledge in this sector for the updated report.

## 3.5 Security

### 3.5.1 IEC 62443 - Industrial communication networks - Network and system security

IEC 62443 is concerned with security aspects in automation systems (broadly speaking).

It consists of the following sub-parts

1. Terminology, concepts and models
  - 2.1. Establishing an industrial automation and control system security program
  - 2.3. Patch management in the IACS environment
  - 2.4. Security program requirements for IACS service providers
3. Security for industrial process measurement and control - Network and system security
  - 3.1. Security technologies for industrial automation and control systems
  - 3.3. System security requirements and security levels
- 4.1. Secure product development lifecycle requirements
  - 4.2. Technical security requirements for industrial automation and control systems components

The standard describes a defence in-depth approach to industrial security comprising roles, responsibilities, security segments for all actors involved including end-users and component suppliers. Also, different component classes (ranging from embedded devices up to pure software components) are defined.

IEC 62443 views security as a continuous process that accompanies the development of an automation component as well as an integrated automation system.

**Details:**

<b>Category</b>	Security
<b>Application domain</b>	Industrial Automation and Control Systems (IACS)
<b>Title</b>	Industrial communication networks - Network and system security
<b>Identifier</b>	IEC 62443
<b>Experts</b>	International Electrotechnical Commission
<b>Link</b>	

*Table 19: Details of IEC62443*

**Service providers**

Partner	Contact
JOANNEUM RESEARCH	Bernhard Dieber Lakeside B13b 9020 Klagenfurt <a href="mailto:bernhard.dieber@joanneum.at">bernhard.dieber@joanneum.at</a>

### 3.5.2 Further standardization needs in security

Standardization of security has begun quite recently. Currently, only a security standard for industrial systems exists (IEC 62443). The standard was not written with a focus on agrifood robot systems applies only partially. Therefore, one major need in terms of standardization in agrifood domain is the development of applicable security standards.

## 3.6 Energy Management

### 3.6.1 ISO 50001 – Energy Management systems

ISO 50001 is the international standard for certification of energy management systems. It guides an organization to develop and implement a policy to identify significant areas of energy consumption and commit to energy reductions. The standard does not require any specific performance criteria similar to any other management system standards published by the ISO. Energy assessment is an integral part of the process for complying with the standard.

ISO 50001 specifies requirements applicable to energy use and consumption, including measurement, documentation and reporting, design and procurement practices for equipment, systems, processes and personnel that contribute to energy performance. It is applied to all the variables that affect energy performance. This standard provides methodology for continual improvement in energy performance without explicitly specifying any performance criteria that has to be satisfied with respect to energy

The energy management system ISO 50001 is particularly suitable for organizations with a high energy consumption who want to systematically pay attention to measuring, monitoring and reducing this. Examples are energy-intensive industry, such as data centers, the paper industry and textile cleaning or organizations with a large floor area to be heated or cooled, such as colleges and supermarkets

#### Details:

<b>Category</b>	Energy Management
<b>Application domain</b>	General, all the variables that affect energy performance
<b>Title</b>	Energy management systems: Requirements with guidance for use
<b>Identifier</b>	ISO 50001
<b>Experts</b>	Wageningen University & Research

*Table 20: Details of ISO 50001*

#### Reference Implementations

Name	Link	License
Stichting Koninklijk Nederlands Normalisatie Instituut	<a href="https://www.nen.nl/NEN-Shop/Energiemanagement.htm">https://www.nen.nl/NEN-Shop/Energiemanagement.htm</a>	

#### Service providers

Partner	Contact
Wageningen Food & Biobased Research	Edo Wissink, M.Sc. Specialist refrigeration <a href="mailto:edo.wissink@wur.nl">edo.wissink@wur.nl</a>

### **3.7 Other standardization needs for agri-food robotics**

This section details further standardization needs that are not directly related to robotics but could lower the barrier for robot applications in the agrifood domain.

One major improvement that could lower the barrier for automation in greenhouses and on farms is more standardization in the facilities. This standardization need is specifically directed at the infrastructure of greenhouses and farms. Achieving autonomous robot behaviour is easier when working in standardised environments.

Data collection in the agrifood sector is difficult due to vendor specific data formats. Therefore, standardization in the data domain could help. Specifically, open to all vendor data platforms and data sharing facilities could be a major enabler for data driven food production.



## 4 Case studies

A number of standardization case studies are being executed in collaboration with innovation experiments and other agrofood service provision.

### 4.1 Safety for strawberry picking robot

During the project, WP1 executed a case study with an industrial partner for designing the safety system of a potential strawberry-picking robot.

#### 4.1.1 Use case description

A strawberry-picking robot is deployed on a strawberry farm. The robot consists of a mobile base equipped with a robot arm and an end-effector for picking strawberries. The strawberries grow in gutters rows inside a poly-tunnel. The robot moves through the rows and picks ripe strawberries that hang down on the sides of the gutters. The robot shall be operated in autonomous mode inside the poly-tunnel for harvesting strawberries and on the farms premises for travelling to the next poly-tunnel or to travel to its parking spot.



Figure 3 - Typical strawberry farming setup in a polytunnel

#### 4.1.2 Standardization needs and recommendations

When looking into this use case a number of standardization needs were identified. As the planned strawberry picking robot classifies as highly automated agricultural machinery, ISO 18497 was taken as a starting point. The standard is applicable for operation in the field only and not for operation on the farmyard or in stables. The standard also does not point out whether it can be applied for field

operations in poly-tunnels, which is a different environment than what is encountered in normal fields. The enclosing nature of the poly-tunnels takes a number of scape vectors away and adds risks compared to the open field. Therefore, the robot manufacturer needs to execute an additional risk analysis to identify the risks in a poly-tunnel compared to open fields.

Systems that comply with ISO 18497 need to be equipped with a detection system that is able to detect obstacles and persons that approach the robot and the position relative to the robot. When obstacles and persons are inside warning zone, the robot has to give a warning signal. When the obstacles or persons are inside hazard zone, the robot has to enter a safe state. The standard does not give any hints on defining hazard and warning zone around the robot and does not reference any relevant standard.

ISO 3691-4 contains detailed information about the design of protective systems for autonomous industrial trucks. As the basic concepts of the envisioned mobile robot and industrial trucks is similar, it can be argued, that requirements from ISO 3691-4 can be applied to the strawberry picking robot. However, another risk analysis needs to support this hypothesis.

Another issue is the autonomous operation on the farmyard. This operation is not covered by ISO 18497. ISO 3691-4 could be applied if the farmyard is not accessible to the public. Therefore, only a combination of ISO 3691-4 and ISO 18497 currently gives sufficient design information to build the strawberry picking robot.

As this example regarding applicability of safety standards to the strawberry picking robot shows even with the new ISO 18497 it is still not easy to design a safe autonomous robot that can operate freely on farms. ISO 18497 is only applicable to operation in fields and not on the farms other premises. Therefore, the robot needs to comply with a mixed set of standards (i.e. ISO 18497 and ISO 3691-4). Also ISO 18497 is not very precise when it comes to the design of the detection and protection system, which makes it again necessary to base the design on other standards and argue their applicability. This case study shows, that there is still a large area of safety for autonomous farming robots that is not covered by appropriate standards.

## 4.2 Robot software standardization

As many of our experiments need to develop robot software or need robot software to develop their experiment and therefore want to use a robot software platform, WP1 has executed a case study to identify available and useful platforms. Using a robot software platform has many advantages when building a robot, such as:

- Building on a proven robotics middleware
- Reusing existing software and saving time
- Developer ecosystem, that can support with common problems and questions

The goal of this section is to identify the most predominant robot software platforms and by doing so helping standardization.

### 4.2.1 Robotics software platforms

A large number of robot software platforms exist in robotics. In this case study, we have focused on platforms that are open source, as this enables SMEs to use the platforms easily.



## The Robot Operating System




The Robot Operating System is probably the best known open source software development kit for robotics. It is proven in use and already in many robot products. Today, more than 80k developers (Kolak et al. 2020) have used ROS for building software on Github.<sup>1</sup>

## The Robot Operating System 2



ROS also has a second version, that is being developed by major companies (<https://docs.ros.org/en/rolling/Governance.html>) and is cut towards industrial usage. The first stable release is from June 2020 and the package ecosystem is growing. It supports real-time and security requirements as well as functionality requirements modern robot software requires.<sup>2</sup>

## Yet Another Robot Platform



YARP supports building a robot control system as a collection of programs communicating in a peer-to-peer way, with an extensible family of connection types (tcp, udp, multicast, local, MPI, mjpg-over-http, XML/RPC, tcpros) that can be swapped in and out to match your needs.<sup>3</sup>

## The Robot Construction Kit



Rock is a software framework for the development of robotic systems. The underlying component model is based on the Orocos RTT (Real Time Toolkit). Rock provides all the tools required to set up and run high-performance and reliable robotic systems for wide variety of applications in research and industry. It contains a rich collection of ready to use drivers and modules for use in your own system, and can easily be extended by adding new components.<sup>4</sup>

---


<sup>1</sup> <https://ros.org/>

<sup>2</sup> <https://ros.org/>

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.yarp.it/latest/>

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.rock-robotics.org/documentation/about/index.html>

## Open Robot Control Software



Orocos is a portable C++ libraries for advanced machine and robot control. Over the years, Orocos has become a large project of middleware and tooling for development of robotics software. The main parts of this project are the Real Time Toolchain (RTT) and the Orocos Component Library (OCL).

### Other Platforms in literature:

An extensive literature research has been conducted to identify other robot software platforms, that could be interesting to use in agricultural robots. Important characteristics such as activity status, package management support (important for ecosystem) and model-driven development support (important for high quality software development) have been evaluated.

Name	Publications	Status (active, unknown, abandoned)	Package Managenet	Model driven development	OSS
GenoM	(Fleury et al. 1997; Mallet et al. 052010)	✓	x	✓	
Smartsoft	(Schlegel et al. 1999)	✓	x	✓	✓
Orocos	(H. Bruyninckx 2002; Bruyninckx et al. 2003; Soetens et al. 2005; Soetens 2006)	✓	~*	x	✓
CoolBot	(Dominguez-Brito et al. 2004)	x	x		
Rock	(Rock 2008)	✓	✓*	✓	✓
ROS	(Quigley et al. 2009)	✓	✓	~	✓
ESROCOS	(M. Arancon et al. 2017)	✓	✓*		✓
MARIE	(Cote et al. 2004)	x	x		
ArmarX	(Vahrenkamp et al. 2015)	✓	x	x	✓

## D1.9: Standardization needs analysis and potential contributions (an update, living document)

YARP	(Metta et al. 2006)	✓	~	x	✓
XBotCore	(Muratore et al. 42017)	✓	x	x	
RoboFrame	(S. Petters et al. 2007)	x	x	x	
Fawkes	(Niemueller et al. 2010)	✓	x	x	✓
OpenRDK	(Calisi et al. 92008)	x	x	x	
RT-Middleware	(Ando et al. 2005)	✓	~**	✓	✓***
Orca	(Brooks et al. 2005; Brooks et al. 2007)	x	x	x	
RSCA	(Yoo et al. 102006)	x	x	x	
PEIS	(M. Broxvall et al. 2007)	x			
ASEBA	(Magenat et al. 2007)	x			
ORiN	(Mizukawa et al. 2002)	x			
Player/Stage	(Brian P. Gerkey et al. 2003)	x			
CAMUS	(Kim et al. 2005)	x			
-	(Kuo et al. 2005)	x			
CLARAty	(Nesnas et al. 2003)	x			
ACRoSeT	(Iborra et al. 2009)				
RSB	(Wienke et al. 122011)				
Rapyuta	(Mohananarajah et al. 2015; Hunziker et al. 052013)				
BIP	(Basu et al. 2011)	x	x	✓	
MiRo	(Utz et al. 2002)	x			
AEROSTACK	(Sanchez-Lopez et al. 62016)				
OCP	(Paunicka et al. 2001)				
MOOS	(Benjamin et al. 2010)				
OPRos	(Han et al. 2012)	x			

\* Autoproj

\*\* Ecosystem Packages are published on [openrtm.org](http://openrtm.org)

\*\*\* OpenRTM implementations

### 4.2.2 Standardization needs and recommendations

ROS, ROS2, YARP, ROCK and OROCOS are currently the most predominant open-source robot software frameworks. Concerning technical capabilities (more details in D1.14), all of these platforms enable building working robots and all have been deployed in real applications.



## D1.9: Standardization needs analysis and potential contributions (an update, living document)

That being said, ROS and ROS2 stand out concerning ecosystem size (>200.000 packages on GitHub and more than 80.000 developers (Kolak et al. 2020)) and governance (Technical steering committee with ~20 industrial companies). ROS/ROS2 is also the most commercially used open source software platform in robotics, notable examples are Locus Robotics, Fetch Robotics, Clearpath Robotics, PalRobotics, Robotnik and others. Most other platforms offer some kind of bridge into the ROS ecosystem. From a standardization viewpoint, we therefore recommend using ROS or ROS2 if a robot software platform is needed.

## 5 Contribution channels

The agROBOfood project has opened multiple channels for contributing to standardization until now.

- **Modular Robotics:**
  - ISO TC 299 WG 6** – Development of the ISO 22166 standard for modularity for service robotics. Fraunhofer IPA is active member of the working group and bringing in the standardization needs that are discovered in agROBOfood.
  - ROS2 TSC** – As manager of the ROS-Industrial consortium, Fraunhofer IPA is member of the ROS2 technical steering committee and contributing requirements discovered in the agROBOfood project.
- **Safety for agricultural machinery:**

CEMA and AEF are contributing standardization needs to agricultural standards through their safety working groups. Agrobotics members have been participating in working group meetings and presenting findings.
- **Safety for service robotics:**
  - ISO TC 299 WG 2** – Development of ISO 13482 standard and ISO/TR 23482 standard. Fraunhofer IPA is part of the working group.
- **Communications:**
  - ISOBUS** Standardization needs are contributed to the standard by project member AEF.
  - OPC-UA M2X** Working Group is developing an OPC-UA companion specification that will simplify the interaction of mobile service robots with automated equipment such as doors, lights etc. Fraunhofer IPA is part of the working group and bringing in the requirements from agrobotics project and especially the greenhouse industry.
- **Other working groups without direct standardization impact:**

agROBOfood contributes to the RODIN Standards Working Group Meeting and the Open Dei WG Interoperability and Standardization.

## 6 Conclusions

This report analysed the major existing standards for the agrifood robotics domain by leveraging the experts of the agROBOfood consortium. Agri-food robotics is still a relatively new development and is not yet widely adopted in the agri-food industry. Subsequently, standards that apply to agri-food robots have typically been developed with other machinery as focus. Especially, the agricultural machinery industry has developed a number of standards that applied to agri-food robots but were originally developed for tractors and other large machinery with autonomous functionalities. As the standards were not developed for agri-food robots they do not cover all necessary requirements and application areas for autonomous robots in the agri-food sector.

This currently leads to a lack of standardization for agri-food robotics specifically in the area of health and safety. Health and safety standards are particularly important for robot manufacturers as the machinery directive requires manufacturers to fulfil health and safety requirements when putting a product on the market. In the current situation, with no safety standard fully covering the safety requirements for autonomous agri-food robots, developing an autonomous robot that complies with the machinery directive poses a considerable effort and risk for robot manufacturers. A health and safety standard for agri-food robotics could lower the risks for the manufacturer. This issue has been elaborated in the strawberry picking robot safety case study.

Another general problem that has been brought up is the scattering of data formats, programming languages and communication standards. For example, each robot arm manufacturer has their own language for programming their robots. This effectively stifles the development of manufacturer independent robot applications and prevents the development of a strong robot application software industry. A development towards a software platform for robotics is ROS/ROS2. The same is true for data formats. Most OEMs still use their own data formats for configuration and operations data and thus effectively stifle the development of a vibrant data space for agri-food data.

To conclude, there is huge potential in improving the standardization landscape in agri-food robotics.

## 7 Outlook

The agROBOfood consortium's next steps in terms of standardization activities are:

- **Coordinating the standardization activities:** The agROBOfood consortium has many experts in the area of agri-food robotics that can give valuable inputs for standardization but are not active in standardization. The consortium also has many expert organisations that are active in standardization committees (CEMA, AEF etc.). The goal here is to bring these experts together and create outlines for current and new standardization activities.
- **Gather information about needs from innovation experiments:** The current deliverable is solely based on information from experts. The goal here is to use the experiences from the agROBOfood innovation experiments to gather more information about standardization needs.

## Literature

- Ando et al. 2005** Ando, N; Suehiro, T; Kitagaki, K; Kotoku, T; Yoon, Woo-Keun, 2005.  
RT-middleware: distributed component middleware for RT (robot technology): *2005 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, Edmonton, Alta., Canada, 02.08.2005 - 02.08.2005: IEEE, S. 3933-3938  
ISBN: 0-7803-8912-3  
DOI: 10.1109/IROS.2005.1545521
- Basu et al. 2011** Basu, Ananda; Bensalem, Bensalem; Bozga, Marius; Combaz, Jacques; Jaber, Mohamad; Nguyen, Thanh-Hung; Sifakis, Joseph, 2011.  
Rigorous Component-Based System Design Using the BIP Framework.  
*IEEE Software* **28** (3), S. 41–48  
DOI: 10.1109/MS.2011.27
- Benjamin et al. 2010** Benjamin, Michael R; Schmidt, Henrik; Newman, Paul M; Leonard, John J., 2010.  
Nested autonomy for unmanned marine vehicles with MOOS-IvP.  
*Journal of Field Robotics* **27** (6), S. 834–875  
DOI: 10.1002/rob.20370
- Brian P. Gerkey et al. 2003** Brian P. Gerkey; Richard T. Vaughan; Andrew Howard, 2003.  
The Player/Stage Project: Tools for Multi-Robot and Distributed Sensor Systems: *In Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Advanced Robotics*, S. 317-323
- Brooks et al. 2005** Brooks, A; Kaupp, T; Makarenko, A; Williams, S; Oreback, A., 2005.  
Towards component-based robotics: *2005 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, Edmonton, Alta., Canada, 02.08.2005 - 02.08.2005: IEEE, S. 163-168  
ISBN: 0-7803-8912-3  
DOI: 10.1109/IROS.2005.1545523
- Brooks et al. 2007** Brooks, Alex; Kaupp, Tobias; Makarenko, Alexei; Williams, Stefan; Orebäck, Anders, 2007.  
Orca: A Component Model and Repository, S. 231-251.  
In: Brugali, Davide (Hrsg.): *Software Engineering for Experimental Robotics*. Springer Tracts in Advanced Robotics. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg

ISBN: 978-3-540-68949-2  
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-68951-5\_13

**Brugali et al. 2009**

Brugali, Davide & Scandurra, Patrizia, 2009.  
Component-based robotic engineering (Part I) [Tutorial].  
*IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine* **16** (4), S. 84–96  
DOI: 10.1109/MRA.2009.934837

**Bruyninckx et al. 2003**

Bruyninckx, Herman; Soetens, Peter; Koninckx, Bob,  
2003.  
The Real-Time Motion Control Core of the Orocos Project:  
*IEEE International Conference on Robotics and  
Automation*, S. 2766-2771

**Calisi et al. 92008**

Calisi, Daniele; Censi, Andrea; Iocchi, Luca; Nardi,  
Daniele, 92008.  
OpenRDK: A modular framework for robotic software  
development: *2008 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on  
Intelligent Robots and Systems*, Nice, 22.09.2008 -  
26.09.2008: IEEE, S. 1872-1877  
ISBN: 978-1-4244-2057-5  
DOI: 10.1109/IROS.2008.4651213

**Ciccozzi et al. 2016**

Ciccozzi, Federico; Di Ruscio, Davide; Malavolta, Ivano;  
Pelliccione, Patrizio, 2016.  
Adopting MDE for Specifying and Executing Civilian  
Missions of Mobile Multi-Robot Systems.  
*IEEE Access* **4**, S. 6451–6466  
DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2613642

**Cote et al. 2004**

Cote, C; Letourneau, D; Michaud, F; Valin, J.-M;  
Brosseau, Y; Raievsky, C; Lemay, M; Tran, V., 2004.  
Code reusability tools for programming mobile robots:  
*2004 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent  
Robots and Systems (IROS) (IEEE Cat. No.04CH37566)*,  
Sendai, Japan, 28 Sept.-2 Oct., 2004: IEEE, S. 1820-1825  
ISBN: 0-7803-8463-6  
DOI: 10.1109/IROS.2004.1389661

**Dennis Stampfer 2016**

Dennis Stampfer, 2016.  
*The SmartMDS Toolchain: An Integrated MDS  
Workflow and Integrated Development Environment (IDE)  
for Robotics Software*: Università degli studi di Bergamo.  
DOI: 10.6092/JOSER\_2016\_07\_01\_P3

**Diego Alonso 2010**

Diego Alonso, 2010.  
*V3CMM: a 3-View Component Meta-Model for Model-  
Driven Robotic Software Development*: Università degli  
studi di Bergamo.  
DOI: 10.6092/JOSER\_2010\_01\_01\_P3

- Dominguez-Brito et al. 2004** Dominguez-Brito, A. C; Hernandez-Sosa, D; Isern-Gonzalez, J; Cabrera-Gamez, J., 2004.  
Integrating robotics software: *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 2004. Proceedings. ICRA '04. 2004*, New Orleans, LA, USA, 26.04.2004 - 01.05.2004: IEEE, S. 3423-3428 Vol.4  
ISBN: 0-7803-8232-3  
DOI: 10.1109/ROBOT.2004.1308783
- Fleury et al. 1997** Fleury, S; Herrb, M; Chatila, R., 1997.  
G/sup en/oM: a tool for the specification and the implementation of operating modules in a distributed robot architecture: *Proceedings of the 1997 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robot and Systems. Innovative Robotics for Real-World Applications. IROS '97*, Grenoble, France, 7-11 Sept. 1997: IEEE, S. 842-849  
ISBN: 0-7803-4119-8
- Franco-Bedoya et al. 2014** Franco-Bedoya, Oscar; Ameller, David; Costal, Dolors; Franch, Xavier, 2014.  
QuESo a quality model for open source software ecosystems: *2014 9th International Conference on Software Engineering and Applications (ICSOFT-EA)*, S. 209-221
- Gherardi et al. 52014** Gherardi, Luca & Brugali, Davide, 52014.  
Modeling and reusing robotic software architectures: The HyperFlex toolchain: *2014 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, Hong Kong, China, 31.05.2014 - 07.06.2014: IEEE, S. 6414-6420  
ISBN: 978-1-4799-3685-4  
DOI: 10.1109/ICRA.2014.6907806
- H. Bruyninckx 2002** H. Bruyninckx, 2002.  
OROCOS : design and implementation of a robot control software framework
- Han et al. 2012** Han, Soohee; Kim, Mi-sook; Park, Hong Seong, 2012.  
Open Software Platform for Robotic Services. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering* **9** (3), S. 467–481  
DOI: 10.1109/TASE.2012.2193568
- Hua et al. 92016** Hua, Yingbing; Zander, Stefan; Bordignon, Mirko; Hein, Bjorn, 92016.  
From AutomationML to ROS: A model-driven approach for software engineering of industrial robotics using ontological reasoning: *2016 IEEE 21st International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA)*, Berlin, Germany, 06.09.2016 -

09.09.2016: IEEE, S. 1-8  
 ISBN: 978-1-5090-1314-2  
 DOI: 10.1109/ETFA.2016.7733579

**Hunziker et al. 052013**

Hunziker, Dominique; Gajamohan, Mohanarajah; Waibel, Markus; D'Andrea, Raffaello, 052013.  
 Rapyuta: The RoboEarth Cloud Engine: *2013 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Karlsruhe, Germany, 06.05.2013 - 10.05.2013: IEEE, S. 438-444  
 ISBN: 978-1-4673-5643-5  
 DOI: 10.1109/ICRA.2013.6630612

**Iborra et al. 2009**

Iborra, Andres; Caceres, Diego Alonso; Ortiz, Francisco J; Franco, Juan Pastor; Palma, Pedro Sanchez; Alvarez, Barbara, 2009.  
 Design of service robots.  
*IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine* **16** (1), S. 24–33  
 DOI: 10.1109/MRA.2008.931635

**Jadhav et al. 2011**

Jadhav, Anil S. & Sonar, Rajendra M., 2011.  
 Framework for evaluation and selection of the software packages: A hybrid knowledge based system approach.  
*Journal of Systems and Software* **84** (8), S. 1394–1407  
 DOI: 10.1016/j.jss.2011.03.034

**Kim et al. 2005**

Kim, Hyun; Cho, Young-Jo; Oh, Sang-Rok, 2005.  
 CAMUS: a middleware supporting context-aware services for network-based robots: *IEEE Workshop on Advanced Robotics and its Social Impacts, 2005*, Nagoya, Japan, June 12-15, 2005: IEEE, S. 237-242  
 ISBN: 0-7803-8947-6  
 DOI: 10.1109/ARSO.2005.1511658

**Kolak et al. 2020**

Kolak, Sophia; Afzal, Afsoon; Le Goues, Claire; Hilton, Michael C; Timperley, C, 2020.  
 It Takes a Village to Build a Robot: An Empirical Study of The ROS Ecosystem - Replication Package: *International Conference on Software Maintenance and Evolution, 2020*  
 DOI: 10.5281/ZENODO.3997720

**Kuo et al. 2005**

Kuo, Yuan-hsin & MacDonald, B. A., 2005.  
 A Distributed Real-time Software Framework for Robotic Applications: *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Barcelona, Spain, 18-22 April 2005: IEEE, S. 1964-1969  
 ISBN: 0-7803-8914-X  
 DOI: 10.1109/ROBOT.2005.1570401

**M. Arancon et al. 2017**

M. Arancon; G. Montano; M. Wirkus; K. Hoeflinger; D. Silveira; N. Tsiogkas; J. Hugues; H. Bruyninckx; I.



Dragomir; A. Muhammad, 2017.  
ESROCOS: A ROBOTIC OPERATING SYSTEM FOR SPACE AND TERRESTRIAL APPLICATIONS: *ICRA 2017*

**M. Broxvall et al. 2007**

M. Broxvall; BeomSu Seo; Wonkee Kwon.  
The PEIS kernel : a middleware for ubiquitous robotics.  
In: *Proc. Of the IROS-07 Workshop on Ubiquitous Robotic Space Design and Applications*

**Magenat et al. 2007**

Magenat, Stéphane; Longchamp, Valentin; Mondada, F., 2007.  
ASEBA, an event-based middleware for distributed robot control.  
*Workshops and Tutorials CD IEEE/RSJ 2007 International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, S. **[Seiten von-bis fehlt!]**

**Magyar et al. 2015**

Magyar, Gergely; Sinčák, Peter; Krizsán, Zoltán, 2015.  
Comparison Study of Robotic Middleware for Robotic Applications, S. 121-128.  
In: Sinčák, Peter et al. (Hrsg.): *Emergent Trends in Robotics and Intelligent Systems*. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing. Cham: Springer International Publishing  
ISBN: 978-3-319-10782-0  
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-10783-7\_13

**Mallet et al. 052010**

Mallet, Anthony; Pasteur, Cédric; Herrb, Matthieu; Lemaignan, Séverin; Ingrand, Félix, 052010.  
GenoM3: Building middleware-independent robotic components: *2010 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Anchorage, AK, 03.05.2010 - 07.05.2010: IEEE, S. 4627-4632  
ISBN: 978-1-4244-5038-1  
DOI: 10.1109/ROBOT.2010.5509539

**Metta et al. 2006**

Metta, Giorgio; Fitzpatrick, Paul; Natale, Lorenzo, 2006.  
YARP: Yet Another Robot Platform.  
*International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems* 3 (1), S. 8  
DOI: 10.5772/5761

**Mínguez 2012**

Mínguez, Jorge, 2012.  
*A service-oriented integration platform for flexible information provisioning in the real-time factory*.  
Universität Stuttgart.  
DOI: 10.18419/opus-2873

**Mizukawa et al. 2002**

Mizukawa, M; Matsuka, H; Koyama, T; Inukai, T; Noda, A; Tezuka, H; Noguchi, Y; Otera, N., 2002.  
ORiN: open robot interface for the network - the standard

and unified network interface for industrial robot applications: *Proceedings of the 41st SICE Annual Conference. SICE 2002*, Osaka, Japan, 5-7 Aug. 2002: Soc. Instrument & Control Eng. (SICE), S. 925-928  
ISBN: 0-7803-7631-5  
DOI: 10.1109/SICE.2002.1195288

**Mohanarajah et al. 2015**

Mohanarajah, Gajamohan; Hunziker, Dominique; D'Andrea, Raffaello; Waibel, Markus, 2015.  
Rapyuta: A Cloud Robotics Platform.  
*IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering* **12** (2), S. 481–493  
DOI: 10.1109/TASE.2014.2329556

**Muratore et al. 42017**

Muratore, Luca; Laurenzi, Arturo; Hoffman, Enrico Mingo; Rocchi, Alessio; Caldwell, Darwin G; Tsagarakis, Nikos G., 42017.  
XBotCore: A Real-Time Cross-Robot Software Platform: *2017 First IEEE International Conference on Robotic Computing (IRC)*, Taichung, Taiwan, 10.04.2017 - 12.04.2017: IEEE, S. 77-80  
ISBN: 978-1-5090-6724-4

**Nazir et al. 2014**

Nazir, Shah; Anwar, Sajid; Khan, Sher Afzal; Shahzad, Sara; Ali, Muhammad; Amin, Rohul; Nawaz, Muhammad; Lazaridis, Pavlos; Cosmas, John, 2014.  
Software Component Selection Based on Quality Criteria Using the Analytic Network Process.  
*Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2014**, S. 1–12  
DOI: 10.1155/2014/535970

**Nesnas et al. 2003**

Nesnas, I.A.D; Wright, A; Bajracharya, M; Simmons, R; Estlin, T., 2003.  
CLARAty and challenges of developing interoperable robotic software: *Proceedings 2003 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2003) (Cat. No.03CH37453)*, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA, Oct. 27-31, 2003: IEEE, S. 2428-2435  
ISBN: 0-7803-7860-1  
DOI: 10.1109/IROS.2003.1249234

**Niemueller et al. 2010**

Niemueller, Tim; Ferrein, Alexander; Beck, Daniel; Lakemeyer, Gerhard, 2010.  
Design Principles of the Component-Based Robot Software Framework Fawkes, S. 300-311.  
In: Ando, Noriaki et al. (Hrsg.): *Simulation, Modeling, and Programming for Autonomous Robots*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg  
ISBN: 978-3-642-17318-9  
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-17319-6\_29

- npm 2021** npm, 2021.  
*Specifics of npm's package.json handling.*  
Verfügbar unter: <https://docs.npmjs.com/cli/v7/configuring-npm/package-json>  
Zugriff am: 16.09.2021
- Paunicka et al. 2001** Paunicka, J. L.; Mendel, B. R.; Corman, D. E., 2001.  
The OCP - an open middleware solution for embedded systems: *Proceedings of the 2001 American Control Conference. (Cat. No.01CH37148)*, Arlington, VA, USA, 25.06.2001 - 27.06.2001: IEEE, S. 3445-3450 vol.5  
ISBN: 0-7803-6495-3  
DOI: 10.1109/ACC.2001.946163
- Platform Industrie 4.0 2020** Platform Industrie 4.0, 2020.  
*Details of the Asset Administration Shell: Part 1 - The exchange of information between partners in the value chain of Industrie 4.0.* Berlin: Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs
- Quigley et al. 2009** Quigley, Morgan; Gerkey, Brian; Conley, Ken; Faust, Josh; Foote, Tully; Leibs, Jeremy; Berger, Eric; Wheeler, Rob; Ng, Andrew, 2009.  
ROS: an open-source Robot Operating System: *ICRA Workshop on Open Source Software*
- Ray et al. 2017** Ray, Baishakhi; Posnett, Daryl; Devanbu, Premkumar; Filkov, Vladimir, 2017.  
A large-scale study of programming languages and code quality in GitHub.  
*Communications of the ACM* **60** (10), S. 91–100  
DOI: 10.1145/3126905
- Rock 2008** DFKI GmbH, 2008.  
*The Robot Construction Kit (ROCK).*  
Verfügbar unter: <https://www.rock-robotics.org/>  
Zugriff am: 17.09.2021
- S. Petters et al. 2007** S. Petters; Dirk Thomas; Oskar von Stryk, 2007.  
RoboFrame - A Modular Software Framework for Lightweight Autonomous Robots: *Proceedings, Workshop on Measures and Procedures for the Evaluation of Robot Architectures and Middleware of the 2007 IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Oct. 29, 2007*
- Sanchez-Lopez et al. 62016** Sanchez-Lopez, Jose Luis; Suarez Fernandez, Ramon A; Bavlé, Hriday; Sampedro, Carlos; Molina, Martin; Pestana, Jesus; Campoy, Pascual, 62016.  
AEROSTACK: An architecture and open-source software framework for aerial robotics: *2016 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS)*,

Arlington, VA, 07.06.2016 - 10.06.2016: IEEE, S. 332-341  
ISBN: 978-1-4673-9334-8  
DOI: 10.1109/ICUAS.2016.7502591

**Santos et al. 2016**

Santos, Andre; Cunha, Alcino; Macedo, Nuno; Lourenco, Claudio, 2016.  
A framework for quality assessment of ROS repositories:  
*2016 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, Daejeon, South Korea, 09.10.2016 - 14.10.2016: IEEE, S. 4491-4496  
ISBN: 978-1-5090-3762-9  
DOI: 10.1109/IROS.2016.7759661

**Santos et al. 2021**

Santos, Andre; Cunha, Alcino; Macedo, Nuno, 2021.  
The High-Assurance ROS Framework: *2021 IEEE/ACM 3rd International Workshop on Robotics Software Engineering (RoSE)*, Madrid, Spain, 02.06.2021 - 02.06.2021: IEEE, S. 37-40  
ISBN: 978-1-6654-4474-3  
DOI: 10.1109/RoSE52553.2021.00013

**Schlegel et al. 1999**

Schlegel, C. & Worz, R., 1999.  
The software framework SMARTSOFT for implementing sensorimotor systems: *Proceedings 1999 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. Human and Environment Friendly Robots with High Intelligence and Emotional Quotients (Cat. No.99CH36289)*, Kyongju, South Korea, 17-21 Oct. 1999: IEEE, S. 1610-1616  
ISBN: 0-7803-5184-3  
DOI: 10.1109/IROS.1999.811709

**Soetens 2006**

Soetens, Peter, 2006.  
*A Software Framework for Real-Time and Distributed Robot and Machine Control*, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

**Soetens et al. 2005**

Soetens, Peter & Bruyninckx, Herman, 2005.  
Realtime Hybrid Task-Based Control for Robots and Machine Tools: *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, S. 260-265

**Tsardoulis et al. 2017**

Tsardoulis, Emmanouil & Mitkas, Pericles, 2017.  
*Robotic frameworks, architectures and middleware comparison*.  
Verfügbar unter: <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1711.06842v1>

**Utz et al. 2002**

Utz, H; Sablatnog, S; Enderle, S; Kraetzschmar, G., 2002.  
Miro - middleware for mobile robot applications.  
*IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation* **18** (4), S.

493–497

DOI: 10.1109/TRA.2002.802930

**Vahrenkamp et al. 2015**

Vahrenkamp, Nikolaus; Wächter, Mirko; Kröhnert, Manfred; Welke, Kai; Asfour, Tamim, 2015.

The robot software framework ArmarX.

*it - Information Technology* **57** (2), S. 99–111

DOI: 10.1515/itit-2014-1066

**Washizaki et al. 2003**

Washizaki, H; Yamamoto, H; Fukazawa, Y., 2003.

A metrics suite for measuring reusability of software components: *Proceedings. 5th International Workshop on Enterprise Networking and Computing in Healthcare Industry (IEEE Cat. No.03EX717)*, Sydney, NSW, Australia, 3-5 Sept. 2003: IEEE Comput. Soc, S. 211-223  
ISBN: 0-7695-1987-3

DOI: 10.1109/METRIC.2003.1232469

**Wienke et al. 122011**

Wienke, Johannes & Wrede, Sebastian, 122011.

A middleware for collaborative research in experimental robotics: *2011 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII)*, Kyoto, Japan, 20.12.2011 - 22.12.2011: IEEE, S. 1183-1190

ISBN: 978-1-4577-1524-2

DOI: 10.1109/SII.2011.6147617

**Yacoub et al. 2004**

Yacoub, S; Cukic, B; Ammar, H. H., 2004.

A Scenario-Based Reliability Analysis Approach for Component-Based Software.

*IEEE Transactions on Reliability, title=Common-mode failures in redundant VLSI systems: a survey* **53** (4), S. 465–480

DOI: 10.1109/TR.2004.838034

**Yoo et al. 102006**

Yoo, Jonghun; Kim, Saehwa; Hong, Seongsoo, 102006.

The Robot Software Communications Architecture (RSCA): QoS-Aware Middleware for Networked Service Robots: *2006 SICE-ICASE International Joint Conference*, Busan Exhibition & Convention Center-BEXCO, Busan, Korea, 18.10.2006 - 21.10.2006: IEEE, S. 330-335

ISBN: 89-950038-4-7

## D1.9: Standardization needs analysis and potential contributions (an update, living document)

END OF DOCUMENT

